REVIEW ARTICLE

GMJ.2023;12:e2909
www.gmj.ir

Received 2023-01-08
Revised 2023-01-18
Accepted 2023-01-21

Emerging Biomarkers of Acute Myocardial Infarction, An Overview of the Newest MicroRNAs

Venus Shahabi Raberi [1], Elnaz Javanshir [2], Mohsen Abbasnezhad [2], Sina Mashayekhi [2], Amirreza Abbasnezhad [3], Masumeh Ahmadzadeh [2], Akram Shariati [4]✉

[1] Seyed-Al-Shohada Cardiology Hospital, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran
[2] Cardiovascular Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran
[3] Faculty of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
[4] Department of Cardiology, School of Medicine, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

Abstract

Globally, acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is the leading cause of death. Early and precise diagnosis is essential for medical care to enhance prognoses and reduce mortality. The diagnosis of AMI relies primarily on conventional circulating biomarkers. However, these markers have many drawbacks. Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) form a significant fraction of the transcriptome and have been shown to be essential for many biological processes, including the pathogenesis of the disease. ncRNAs can be utilized as biomarkers due to their important role in the disease's development. The current manuscript describes recent progress on the role of ncRNAs as new AMI biomarkers.**[GMJ.2023;12:e2909] DOI:10.31661/gmj.v12i.2909**

Keywords: Myocardial; Biomarker; LcnRNA

Introduction

Myocardial Infarction (MI) and Its Markers

It is now widely recognized that cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and circulatory diseases are the main causes of mortality worldwide [1, 2]. Cerebrovascular and ischemic heart disease (IHD) accounted for most of these CVD deaths [3, 4]. One of the primary causes of hospital admission and mortality is acute myocardial infarction (AMI).

It's critical to correctly determine whether a person with severe chest pain is undergoing an AMI [5]. Suitable markers of AMI should first be quantitatively modified to be used to predict AMI and monitor its pathogenic processes [6]. Cardiac troponins (cTns) are the most frequently used markers in clinical practice for AMI diagnosis. The preferred biomarkers have been cTns T and I (cTnT and cTnI) due to their sensitivity and cardiac selectivity. However, acute myocardial infarction is only one of the conditions that can result in elevated cardiac troponin levels. Therefore, finding specific and sensitive biomarkers for incredibly early AMI diagnosis is crucial [7, 8].

Non-coding RNAs

Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) are transcribed from the genome but do not encode proteins. ncRNAs have important activities including regulation of gene expression [9]. ncRNAs, including long ncRNAs (lncRNAs), recently identified circular RNAs (circRNAs), small

✉ **Correspondence to:**
Akram Shariati, Department of Cardiology, School of Medicine, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran.
Telephone Number: +989144451534
Email Address: Shariatiakram2016@gmail.com

interfering RNAs (siRNAs), and microRNAs (miRNAs), have been demonstrated to have diagnostic and regulatory effects in cardiovascular disorders [10]. MicroRNAs are single-stranded ncRNAs with 21–23 nucleotides that regulate the post-transcriptional process and RNA silencing [11]. NcRNA molecules consisting of 200 or more nucleotides belong to the lncRNAs group. Through interactions with proteins and nucleic acids, lncRNAs control the expression of genes during post-translational, translational, RNA processing, and transcriptional stages. They control lifespan pathways by regulating senescence, apoptosis, differentiation, and cell proliferation [12]. Similar to miRNAs, siRNAs are double-stranded ncRNAs that control genes. They are typically 20–24 (commonly 21) base pairs in length. This genome regulation occurs at critical levels of genome function, including translation, transcription, chromosome segregation, RNA stability, chromatin structure, and RNA processing [13]. CircRNAs have a covalent loop structure and belong to a family of endogenous RNAs. Circular RNAs are less common than other types of RNA, but are very stable due to their circular structure. circRNAs also exhibit a high level of tissue specificity [14].

NcRNAs are expressed in many human tissues, and their expression is particularly tissue-specific in certain circumstances. Due to their unique characteristics, they can be employed as potential biomarkers for the diagnosis of various pathologic diseases, including cancer, myocardial infarction (MI), and other cardiovascular problems [10].

None-coding RNAs and Cardiovascular Disorders

NcRNAs perform a variety of cell- or tissue-specific biological and pathological functions. Additionally, ncRNAs can be used as biomarkers for the detection of cardiovascular disorders due to the presence of ncRNAs in circulatory systems [15]. Researchers have suggested that ncRNAs, especially lncRNAs and microRNAs, play an important role in regulating cardiovascular aging and heart development. MicroRNAs have received the most attention among them due to their role in MI and cardiovascular disorders. Additionally, many lncRNAs were found to be regulated during AMI in cardiac tissue [16]. In the current manuscript, we discussed the most recent advances regarding ncRNAs' role as new AMI biomarkers.

Search Strategy

Data were collected using the keywords "microRNA" or "miR" or "myocardial infarction" or "biomarker" or "LncRNA" or "ncRNAs" or "Heart" or "MI" or "ncRNA" in the Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed databases. The title and abstract of all articles were reviewed, and papers related to the objective of our investigation were selected.

MiR-499

The heart contains over 200 miRNAs, and miR-499 is one of the most extensively researched. Exosomes from infarcted mouse hearts were found to release miR-499 into circulation, and their circulating levels were all elevated [17]. MiR-499 level can be utilized to diagnose AMI earlier than traditional markers. While creatine phosphokinase-MB (CK-MB) and cTnI are detectable 2 hours after the onset of chest pain, miR-499 is present in the plasma only 1 hour after the AMI and continues to rise 9 hours later [18]. According to previous studies, the concentration of circulating miR-499 in a cohort of healthy controls was hardly detectable and extremely close to the assay's detection limit. However, there was an increase in troponin-negative patients in certain patients with non-AMI heart disease including angina pectoris and myocarditis. These findings imply that miR-499 can be used as a possible biomarker to identify early-stage myocarditis and angina pectoris in other diseases [18, 19]. In another study, miR-499 showed an increase in patients with acute non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) compared to controls in 92 elderly people with NSTEMI, 81 non-AMI patients with chronic heart failure (CHF), and 99 controls. Findings demonstrated that circulating miR-499 is a reliable biomarker of acute NSTEMI, with a diagnostic accuracy greater than cTnT in elderly patients [20].

Before using miR-499 as a reliable biomarker of AMI diagnosis, several issues including the inability to quickly identify miR-499 must

be resolved. However, miR-499 has distinct advantages over cTnT and other traditional markers, such as the fact that it has a high level of in vitro stability and is unaffected by renal function. Additionally, giant troponin, troponin antibodies, and other specimen variables can interfere with currently used immunological approaches to detect cTnT and CK-MB. As a result, miR-499 remains a reliable indicator of myocardial damage [18].

MiR-1

MiR-1 is a highly expressed conserved miRNA in the heart and has important implications for cardiac tissue development. *MiR-1* has been found to target the transcription factor Heart, and Neural Crest Derivatives Expressed 2 (HAND2), which promotes the development of ventricular cardiomyocytes [21]. Several studies have reported changes in *miR-1* during myocardial disorders. In a recent study, the potential of using *miR-1* as a substitute for cardiac steatosis biomarkers was explored. Regardless of confounding variables, circulating *miR-1* levels were strongly associated with myocardial steatosis. It has been proposed that circulating *miR-1* can predict myocardial steatosis on its own. This result highlights the significance of circulating *miR-1* as asymptomatic diabetic cardiomyopathy diagnostic tool [22].

Several other studies have reported the diagnostic value of *miR-1* in MI. According to research by Enrica Pinchi *et al*., a reduction in the *miR-1* level in blood samples from patients with AMI can be utilized as a biomarker to identify sudden cardiac death (SCD) caused by AMI.

Along with miR-499, the *miR-1* demonstrated significant accuracy in separating SCD from AMI. Overexpression of *miR-1* has been reported as a potential marker for AMI by downregulating the urothelial carcinoma-associated 1 (UCA1) [23].

UCA1

A lncRNA known as urothelial carcinoma-associated 1 (UCA1) may be useful as a MI diagnostic marker. UCA1 may be used as AMI because it is only expressed in the spleen and heart after birth [24]. In a study, the level of UCA1 in the plasma of patients with AMI and healthy controls was measured to verify this hypothesis. Because it is thought that *miR-1* controls the expression of UCA1, they also checked the amount of *miR-1*. The UCA1 was dropped early but elevated after MI. These results suggest that UCA1 may serve as a possible new marker for AMI detection [25]. The *miR-1* gene controls the amount of UCA1. In bladder cancer cells, *miR-1* was found to have an Ago2-slicer-dependent effect on decreasing UCA1 expression. The effector RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which comprises an Argonaute protein (AGOs 1–4 in humans), mediates small RNA silencing. Plasma from rats or patients with AMI has been reported to have significantly increased amounts of *miR-1*. The research also revealed a negative correlation between the expression of *miR-1* and the UCA1. The results suggest that *miR-1*/UCA1 axis in circulation may be a more useful predictive and diagnostic marker for AMI compared to *miR-1* levels alone [26].

H19

LncRNA H19 (H19), located on chromosome 11p15.5 and encoded by the *H19* gene, was one of the first discovered lncRNAs. After transcription and polyadenylation, it is transported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. This LncRNA is typically expressed in fetal tissues, and after delivery, its expression is significantly diminished. Recently, it was discovered that *H19* participates in several pathological processes, including fibrosis progression, neurogenesis, angiogenesis, and inflammatory responses [27].

It is not surprising that the alteration in LncRNA H19 expression occurrs preferentially in heart tissue and is associated with CVD [28, 29]. Additionally, cardiac cells become leaky during cardiac muscle injury and release their contents into the bloodstream [30]. A significant increase in circulating *H19* transcript levels has been reported in patients with AMI. There was also a direct association between the plasma homocystine and relative *H19* expression. To differentiate MI patients from controls, the relative expression of *H19* demonstrated 70% sensitivity and 94% specificity. This study also found that the *H19* level could be used as a marker for MI; however,

more research is required to apply this finding more broadly [31]. In a recent study, the lncRNA H19 change was associated with cardiovascular risk variables including cardiac ejection fraction, lipoprotein A, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and white blood cell counts and negatively correlated with several cardiovascular protective factors. There was a strong correlation between lncRNA H19 and the cardiac biomarkers CK-MB, CK, and cTnT. Consequently, increased expression of *H19* can be considered a potential AMI marker [15].

MiR-133a

MiR-133 and *miR-1* share the same chromosomal locations for transcription [32]. In particular, miR-133a has an important impact on several malignancies, including hepatocellular carcinoma and breast cancer, as well as heart development and dysfunction [33]. Furthermore, *miR-1* and miR-133a are essential for promoting cardiogenesis, heart health, and pathology. By controlling the cardiac action potential, miR-133a and *miR-1* also regulate cardiac automaticity and conductance in the heart [32]. High expression of miR-133 enhances cardiac function by increasing the fractional shortening (FS) and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) [34].

According to a study by Liu Peng1 *et al*., miR-133 levels were significantly increased in patients with AMI compared to non-MI controls. The miR-133 specificity and sensitivity were 91.2% and 81.1%, respectively [35].

In a study, patients with unstable angina pectoris or acute myocardial infarction have significantly higher serum levels of miR-133a than healthy individuals [36]. MiR-133a serum levels had a strong correlation with all-cause mortality in ACS patients [37]. Kimura *et al*. showed that when cTnT and CPK serum levels are normal, circulating levels of miR-133a and *miR-1* increased shortly after AMI. The blood miR-133 level was more sensitive to myocardial damage than the *miR-1* level. In addition to traditional markers, miR-133a may also provide prognostic information, possibly much former [38, 36].

Other Important ncRNAs

Many ncRNAs have been shown to be associated with MI. MiR-208a, *miR-1*, miR-133, and miR-499 were the first miRNAs discovered as markers for MI patients [39]. Several genes are differentially expressed in patients with AMI, including potassium voltage-gated channel, KQT-like subfamily, member one opposite strand/antisense transcript 1 (KCNQ1OT1), metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1), cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2B antisense RNA 1 (ANRIL), and hypoxia-inducible factor 1A antisense RNA 2 (aHIF). More importantly, ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) from NSTEMI could be distinguished by KCNQ1OT1, ANRIL, and MALAT1 [40].

Two miRNAs essential for vascular biology, miR-126, and miR-155, were reduced in serum from patients after off-pump coronary artery bypass graft, pointing to a possible controlling role for miR-126 and miR-155 in cardiac surgery [41]. Following a prior study that suggested serum miR-191 and serum miR-26a were reduced in patients with AMI, a subsequent microarray-based investigation also found the same results [42, 43]. Microarray assays revealed that miR-320b and miR-125b levels were lower in the patients with AMI, and this decrease was subsequently confirmed in a cohort of 178 Chinese patients with AMI [44]. Some studies have discovered that heart failure, STEMI, and NSTEMI patients' plasma miR-145 levels were decreased [45]. Studies showed that miRNA-208b, miRNA-34a, miRNA-328, and MiRNA-134 were also linked to heart failure development and increased risk of mortality after AMI [46].

Limitations

The application of ncRNA screening techniques in clinical practice is currently limited by cost and time requirements. Material selection, sample separation, detection, processing methods, and normalization strategies are among the operational criteria yet to be established. Particularly, ncRNA levels can range significantly between various material selections and various isolation techniques. Another restriction is the ncRNA's lack of specificity as biomarkers. Since the majority of the sample sizes considered in these studies were small, long-term and follow-up investigations are still required for further confirmation of

the clinical application of ncRNAs for AMI diagnosis [47].

Conclusion

In conclusion, ncRNAs, particularly miRNAs, showed many advantages as biomarkers of AMI. Such biomarkers are arguably required to aid healthcare decisions in the diagnosis and prognosis of AMI and facilitate the transition from conventional diagnostic markers to new methods. However, there are still many challenges to overcome before these ncRNAs can be used therapeutically.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Vatani KK, Raberi VS, Khalili N, Ajdari S. The Association Between the Serum Level of 25-Hydroxy Vitamin D and the Echocardiographic Indices of Left Ventricular Function in Patients With no Significant Coronary Artery Disease. CJMB. 2020;7(2):220-4.
2. Tabrizi MT, Khezerlu N, Rabori VS, Sarvestani AH. The assessment of functional indices of left ventricular wall layers in cases with normal and high blood pressure by layer-specific strain methods. J Res Clin Med. 2022;10(7):1.
3. Tabrizi MT, Khezerlouy-Aghdam N, Raberi VS, Khosroshahi AJ. Aortic shelf as a normal variant diagnosed primarily as the aortic dissection: A case report. J Cardiovasc Thorac Res. 2020;12(3):234.
4. Raberi VS, Ezati E, Zadeh RF. The relationship between the hematologic indices (PDW, WBC count, MPV) at the admission time and descending ST segment after thrombolysis in patients with myocardial infarction. Amazonia Investiga. 2019;8(18):139-49.
5. Chaulin AM, Duplyakov DV. Biomarkers of acute myocardial infarction: diagnostic and prognostic value. Part 1 J Clin Pract. 2020;11(3):75-84.
6. Wang XY, Zhang F, Zhang C, Zheng LR, Yang J. The biomarkers for acute myocardial infarction and heart failure. Biomed Res Int. 2020;2020:1.
7. Long B, Long DA, Tannenbaum L, Koyfman A. An emergency medicine approach to troponin elevation due to causes other than occlusion myocardial infarction. AJEM. 2020;38(5):998-1006.
8. Rashid S, Malik A, Khurshid R, Faryal U, Qazi S. The diagnostic value of biochemical cardiac markers in acute myocardial infarction. Myocardial Infarction. 2019;23:.
9. Zhang P, Wu W, Chen Q, Chen M. Non-coding RNAs and their integrated networks. J Integr Bioinform. 2019;16(3):1.
10. Wang C, Jing Q. Non-coding RNAs as biomarkers for acute myocardial infarction. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2018;39(7):1110-9.
11. Lu TX, Rothenberg ME. MicroRNA. Journal of allergy and clinical immunology. 2018;141(4):1202-7.
12. Mathieu E-L, Belhocine M, Dao L, Puthier D, Spicuglia S. Functions of lncRNA in development and diseases. Med Sci: M/S. 2014;30(8-9):790-6.
13. Saw PE, Song E-W. siRNA therapeutics: a clinical reality. Sci China Life Sci. 2020;63(4):485-500.
14. Cai Y, Lei X, Chen Z, Mo Z. The roles of cirRNA in the development of germ cells. Acta Histochem. 2020;122(3):151506.
15. Wang X-M, Li X-M, Song N, Zhai H, Gao X-M, Yang Y-N. Long non-coding RNAs H19, MALAT1 and MIAT as potential novel biomarkers for diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. Biomed Pharmacother. 2019;118:109208.
16. Poller W, Dimmeler S, Heymans S, Zeller T, Haas J, Karakas M et al. Non-coding RNAs in cardiovascular diseases: diagnostic and therapeutic perspectives. Eur Heart J. 2018;39(29):2704-16.
17. Zhang L, Ding H, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Zhu W, Li P. Circulating MicroRNAs: biogenesis and clinical significance in acute myocardial infarction. Front Physiol. 2020;11:1088.
18. Zhang L, Chen X, Su T, Li H, Huang Q, Wu D et al. Circulating miR-499 are novel and sensitive biomarker of acute myocardial infarction. J Thorac Dis. 2015;7(3):303.
19. Chen X, Liang H, Zhang J, Zen K, Zhang C-Y. Horizontal transfer of microRNAs: molecular mechanisms and clinical applications. Protein & cell. 2012;3(1):28-37.
20. Olivieri F, Antonicelli R, Lorenzi M, D'Alessandra Y, Lazzarini R, Santini G et al. Diagnostic potential of circulating miR-499-5p in elderly patients with acute non ST-el-

evation myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol. 2013;167(2):531-6.
21. Safa A, Bahroudi Z, Shoorei H, Majidpoor J, Abak A, Taheri M et al. miR-1: A comprehensive review of its role in normal development and diverse disorders. Biomed Pharmacother. 2020;132:110903.
22. de Gonzalo-Calvo D, Van Der Meer R, Rijzewijk L, Smit J, Revuelta-López E, Nasarre L et al. Serum microRNA-1 and microRNA-133a levels reflect myocardial steatosis in uncomplicated type 2 diabetes. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):1-14.
23. Pinchi E, Frati P, Aromatario M, Cipolloni L, Fabbri M, La Russa R et al. miR-1, miR-499 and miR-208 are sensitive markers to diagnose sudden death due to early acute myocardial infarction. J Cell Mol Med. 2019;23(9):6005-16.
24. Wang F, Li X, Xie X, Zhao L, Chen W. UCA1, a non-protein-coding RNA up-regulated in bladder carcinoma and embryo, influencing cell growth and promoting invasion. FEBS Lett. 2008;582(13):1919-27.
25. Yan Y, Zhang B, Liu N, Qi C, Xiao Y, Tian X et al. Circulating long noncoding RNA UCA1 as a novel biomarker of acute myocardial infarction. Biomed Res Int. 2016;2016:1.
26. Liu Y, Mao S, Luo X, Wang Y. Circulating miR-1/UCA1 is a novel biomarker for the diagnosis and prognosis of acute myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol. 2020;310:137.
27. Yang J, Qi M, Fei X, Wang X, Wang K. LncRNA H19: A novel oncogene in multiple cancers. Int J Biol Sci. 2021;17(12):3188.
28. Zhang Z, Gao W, Long Q-Q, Zhang J, Li Y-F, Yan J-J et al. Increased plasma levels of lncRNA H19 and LIPCAR are associated with increased risk of coronary artery disease in a Chinese population. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):1-9.
29. Gao W, Zhu M, Wang H, Zhao S, Zhao D, Yang Y et al. Association of polymorphisms in long noncoding RNA H19 with coronary artery disease risk in a Chinese population. mutat resfund mol m. 2015;772:15-22.
30. Baird MF, Graham SM, Baker JS, Bickerstaff GF. Creatine-kinase-and exercise-related muscle damage implications for muscle performance and recovery. J Nutr Metab. 2012;2012:1.
31. Safaei S, Tahmasebi-Birgani M, Bijanzadeh M, Seyedian SM. Increased expression level of long noncoding RNA H19 in plasma of patients with myocardial infarction. Int J Mol Cell Med. 2020;9(2):122.
32. Chistiakov DA, Orekhov AN, Bobryshev YV. Cardiac-specific miRNA in cardiogenesis, heart function, and cardiac pathology (with focus on myocardial infarction). J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2016;94:107-21.
33. Ji Y, Han Z, Shao L, Zhao Y. Evaluation of in vivo antitumor effects of low-frequency ultrasound-mediated miRNA-133a microbubble delivery in breast cancer. Cancer med. 2016;5(9):2534-43.
34. Chen Y, Zhao Y, Chen W, Xie L, Zhao Z-A, Yang J et al. MicroRNA-133 overexpression promotes the therapeutic efficacy of mesenchymal stem cells on acute myocardial infarction. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2017;8(1):1-11.
35. Peng L, Chun-guang Q, Bei-fang L, Xue-zhi D, Zi-hao W, Yun-fu L et al. Clinical impact of circulating miR-133, miR-1291 and miR-663b in plasma of patients with acute myocardial infarction. Diagn Pathol. 2014;9(1):1-7.
36. Kuwabara Y, Ono K, Horie T, Nishi H, Nagao K, Kinoshita M et al. Increased microRNA-1 and microRNA-133a levels in serum of patients with cardiovascular disease indicate myocardial damage. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2011;4(4):446-54.
37. Widera C, Gupta SK, Lorenzen JM, Bang C, Bauersachs J, Bethmann K et al. Diagnostic and prognostic impact of six circulating microRNAs in acute coronary syndrome. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2011;51(5):872-5.
38. Yuan L, Liu X, Chen F, Zhang L, Chen X, Huang Q et al. Diagnostic and Prognostic Value of Circulating MicroRNA-133a in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction. Clin Lab. 2016;62(7):1233-41.
39. Ai J, Zhang R, Li Y, Pu J, Lu Y, Jiao J et al. Circulating microRNA-1 as a potential novel biomarker for acute myocardial infarction. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2010;391(1):73-7.
40. Vausort M, Wagner DR, Devaux Y. Long noncoding RNAs in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Circ Res. 2014;115(7):668-77.
41. Pourrajab F, Velashani FT, Khanaghaei M, Hekmatimoghaddam S, Rahaie M, Zare-Khormizi MR. Comparison of miRNA signature versus conventional biomarkers before and after off-pump coronary artery bypass graft. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2017;134:11-7.
42. Long G, Wang F, Duan Q, Chen F, Yang S, Gong W et al. Human circulating microRNA-1 and microRNA-126 as potential novel indicators for acute myocardial infarction. Int J Biol Sci. 2012;8(6):811-8.
43. Li C, Chen X, Huang J, Sun Q, Wang L. Clinical impact of circulating miR-26a, miR-191, and miR-208b in plasma of patients with acute myocardial infarction. Eur J Med Res.

2015;20(1):1-8.
44. Huang S, Chen M, Li L, He Ma, Hu D, Zhang X et al. Circulating MicroRNAs and the occurrence of acute myocardial infarction in Chinese populations. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2014;7(2):189-98.
45. Zhang M, Cheng Y-J, Sara JD, Liu L-J, Liu L-P, Zhao X et al. Circulating microRNA-145 is associated with acute myocardial infarction and heart failure. Chin Med J. 2017;130(01):51-6.
46. Chen Y, Tao Y, Zhang L, Xu W, Zhou X. Diagnostic and prognostic value of biomarkers in acute myocardial infarction. Postgrad Med J. 2019;95(1122):210-6.
47. Chen Z, Li C, Lin K, Zhang Q, Chen Y, Rao L. MicroRNAs in acute myocardial infarction: Evident value as novel biomarkers? Anatol J Cardiol. 2018;19(2):140.