Received2023-12-09Revised2024-03-12Accepted2024-04-28

Need for Echocardiographic Analysis of Abdominal Aorta Dimensions and Their Associations with Demographic Characteristics in Healthy Individuals

Haleh Bodagh¹, Kamran Mohammadi¹, Asma Yousefzadeh¹, Mehran Rahimi¹, Mehrnoush Toufan-Tabrizi¹

¹ Cardiovascular Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

LETTER

O EDITOR

Dear Editor,

bdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) Apertain to dilations in the abdominal aorta. A practical definition of an abdominal aortic aneurysm is a transverse diameter of 3 cm or more [1]. In the general population, the prevalence of AAA is observed in males at a prevalence ranging from 5.3% to 6.7%, while in females, the prevalence falls within the range of 1.2% to 1.9% [2]. Most affected individuals are unaware of their condition [3]. Aortic aneurysms rank as the 14th leading cause of death in the United States, with numerous fatalities occurring annually due to ruptured AAAs or preventive procedures [4]. Various risk factors significantly elevate the likelihood of developing an abdominal aortic aneurysm, including age over 60, smoking, and high blood pressure [5]. The probability of aneurysm rupture is influenced by factors such as aneurysm size, rate of expansion, smoking, and persistent hypertension [6].

Most abdominal aortic aneurysms are asymptomatic and are incidentally detected through imaging techniques such as ultrasound, computed tomography, or

GMJ

Copyright© 2024, Galen Medical Journal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.02) Email:gmj@salviapub.com



magnetic resonance imaging, presenting challenges for screening. Despite these challenges, the US Preventive Services Task Force recommends abdominal ultrasonography screening for men between the ages of 65 and 75 with a history of smoking [7]. Moreover, age, gender, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), and body surface area (BSA) are among multiple factors that have been shown to significantly associate with the size of the abdominal aorta [8]. Although studies have explored the diameter of the abdominal aorta in some populations, determining the normal diameter of the abdominal aorta in diverse populations, its correlation with demographic factors, and ultimately a normal range in healthy people is crucial for diagnosing and managing abdominal aortic diseases [9]. The routine assessment of the proximal abdominal aorta alongside the inferior vena cava (IVC) is a common practice for echocardiologists [10]. Despite being often used in the clinical setting, this aspect of echocardiography may not have received adequate attention. Many individuals undergo routine echocardiographic assessment of the proximal abdominal

Correspondence to: Mehrnoush Toufan Tabrizi, Cardiovascular Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Daneshgah Street, Tabriz, Eastern Azerbaijan, Iran. Telephone Number: +989143111284 Email Address: mtoufan@gmail.com

aorta at medical facilities, presenting a valuable opportunity for early diagnosis. To achieve this, precise measurements of the abdominal aorta's size in normal individuals and its relationship with other aortic segments need accurate determination. There is a need for studies that aim to contribute to the broader understanding of abdominal aortic diameters by shedding light on the size of the abdominal aorta in a healthy population.

In conclusion, we emphasize the critical need for an echocardiographic analysis of abdominal aorta dimensions and their associations with demographic characteristics in healthy individuals. Also, we suggest using age, gender, and BSA to be included and analyzed in healthy individuals in the future studies as they are the most important demographic and anthropometric factors in AAA [11]. [GMJ.2024;13:e3259]

DOI:10.31661/gmj.v12i.3259

Conflict of Interest

None.

Keywords: Abdominal Aorta; Aneurysm; Aortic Aneurysm; Abdominal; Healthy Individuals

References

- Prisant LM, Mondy III JS. Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm. J Clin Hypertens. 2004;6(2):85-9.
- Li X, Zhao G, Zhang J, Duan Z, Xin S. Prevalence and trends of the abdominal aortic aneurysms epidemic in general population--a meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2013;8(12):e81260.
- Zimmerman DL, Min D-J, Summers KL, Sheahan C, Sheahan MG. Health literacy and abdominal aortic aneurysms. J Vasc Surg. 2020;71(2):490-6.
- 4. Aggarwal S, Qamar A, Sharma V, Sharma A. Abdominal aortic aneurysm: A comprehensive review. Exp Clin Cardiol. 2011;16(1):11-5.
- Altobelli E, Rapacchietta L, Profeta VF, Fagnano R. Risk Factors for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm in Population-Based Studies: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Env Res Pub He. 2018;15(12):2805.
- Lo RC, Lu B, Fokkema MT, Conrad M, Patel VI, Fillinger M, et al. Relative importance of aneurysm diameter and body size for predicting abdominal aortic aneurysm rupture in men and women. J Vasc Surg. 2014;59(5):1209-16.
- Force UPST. Screening for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA. 2019;322(22):2211-8.

- Lederle FA, Johnson GR, Wilson SE, Gordon IL, Chute EP, Littooy FN, et al. Relationship of age, gender, race, and body size to infrarenal aortic diameter. J Vasc Surg. 1997;26(4):595-601.
- Hu J, Zheng ZF, Zhou XT, Liu YZ, Sun ZM, Zhen YS, Gao BL. Normal diameters of abdominal aorta and common iliac artery in middle-aged and elderly Chinese Han people based on CTA. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022;101(31):e30026.
- Khosa F, Warraich H, Khan A, Mahmood F, Markson L, Clouse ME, Manning WJ. Prevalence of non-cardiac pathology on clinical transthoracic echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2012;25(5):553-7.
- Mladenovic A, Markovic Z, Grujicic-Sipetic S, Hyodoh H. Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm in Different Races Epidemiologic Features and Morphologic-Clinical Implications Evaluated by CT Aortography [Internet]. Aneurysm. InTech; 2012.