

ORIGINAL
ARTICLE

Received 2024-08-18

Revised 2024-10-17

Accepted 2024-11-22

Examining The Effects of Silver Diamine Fluoride Treatment on the Surface Morphological Properties and Binding Strength of Demineralized Dentin to Glass Ionomer with and without Potassium Iodide and Glutathione

Mahsa Samani ¹, Farimah Hajebi ² ✉, Faramarz Zakavi ¹, Mehran Mapar ¹¹ Department of Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran² Department of Aesthetic and Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

One new and promising chemical for non-invasive and minimally invasive dental caries treatment is silver diamine fluoride (SDF). However, demineralized dentin discolors easily, so it's not a popular choice for permanent teeth or the aesthetic zone. Although this discolouration can be reduced by applying glutathione and potassium iodide (KI) antioxidants, it is unclear how these substances affect the bond strength of glass ionomer (GI) to pre-treated dentin. Consequently, the objective of this research was to evaluate the degree to which dentin treated with SDF plus KI (SDF-KI), SDF plus glutathione (SDF-GLU), or a combination of the two (SDF+GLU) compared to GI in terms of microtensile bond strength (mTBS). We artificially demineralized 75 dentin specimens taken from healthy human permanent teeth to mimic caries. After that, they were connected to self-cure GC-Fuji IX GI and treated in one of five groups: control, SDF, SDF-KI, SDF-GLU, or SDF+GLU (n=15). Their mode of failure was identified under a stereomicroscope after they went through a mTBS test. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was also applied to a subset of specimens from both groups. The control and SDF-KI groups had significantly different mTBS values ($P=0.019$ and $P=0.005$, respectively), as did the control and SDF-GLU groups. Compared to the SDF group, the SDF-KI group had a significantly lower mTBS ($P=0.024$). There was a statistically significant difference between the SDF and SDF-GLU groups in terms of mTBS ($P=0.006$). When comparing the control group to the SDF and SDF+GLU groups, there was no significant difference in mTBS ($P>0.05$). For optimal dentin surface preparation prior to GI restoration, SDF+GLU is the way to go. The decrease in GI to dentin mTBS is the reason why SDF-KI and SDF-GLU are not suggested.

[GMJ.2024;13:e3555] DOI:[10.31661/gmj.v13iSP1.3555](https://doi.org/10.31661/gmj.v13iSP1.3555)**Keywords:** Silver Diamine Fluoride; Potassium Iodide; Glutathione; Microtensile Bond Strength

GMJ

Copyright© 2024, Galen Medical Journal.
This is an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the Creative Commons
Attribution 4.0 International License
(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)
Email:gmj@salviapub.com



✉ Correspondence to:

Farimah Hajebi, Department of Aesthetic and Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
Telephone Number: 021 5585 1131
Email Address: farimah.hajebi@yahoo.com

Introduction

The current literature holds that dental caries is more of a behavioral illness involving bacteria than an infectious one. Cavitated dental caries treatment no longer involves cavity preparation by removing all infected and damaged dentin and expanding the cavity to accommodate the carious lesion's size [1]. Atraumatic restorative techniques (ARTs) have been used in distant communities as a conservative caries control approach for years [2]. However, non-invasive dentistry has received more attention in recent years, compared to before [3, 4]. Thus, the indications of ARTs have increased, and ARTs are no longer limited to deprived and distant areas; they are currently practiced in many dental clinics in modern countries as a standard treatment approach, particularly for the elderly and non-cooperative patients, and those with special needs [5, 6].

Glass ionomer (GI) cement is a restorative material with fluoride release potential, which is commonly used in ARTs [7, 8]. It should be recharged by a source of fluoride for optimal efficacy [9]. Silver diamine fluoride (SDF) is a bactericidal, colorless, and low-cost material, which forms CaF_2 -like compounds in reaction with dentin hydroxyapatite that serves as a slow-release fluoride reservoir. The presence of fluoride and silver together in an alkaline environment has a synergistic effect on the cessation and control of dentin caries [10, 11]. Thus, SDF is superior to other fluoride-containing compounds. There is no need to remove the soft carious tissue before the application of SDF [12]. Also, the application of SDF is more effective than the temporary restoration of the cavity with GI to stop the progression of cavitated caries [13]. Based on this, SDF is compatible with ARTs. The silver-modified atraumatic restorative technique (SMART) is the name given to the combination of SDF and an ART [14]. By using SMART, pulp vitality may be maintained, carious lesions can be remineralized, and secondary caries surrounding GI restorations can be prevented [15, 16]. SMART significantly increases the concentration of released fluoride and results in more successful caries management [17].

SDF can also be used as a liner beneath restorations [16], in the treatment of root caries in the elderly, medically compromised patients, and those with behavioral problems, and in the restoration of caries in primary teeth of children who are candidates for dental treatment under general anesthesia but cannot or are not willing to do it [18-20]. In all such cases, the tooth treated with SDF should be restored to resume its function or for aesthetic reasons. GI is the first restorative material selected for this purpose. Thus, it is important to ensure no adverse effect of treatment with SDF on the quality of the bond of GI to dentin, to guarantee the success of dental restorative procedures with SDF [21].

SDF forms Ag_3PO_4 following a reaction with hydroxyapatite, which causes tooth discoloration [22]. Despite the extensive advantages of SDF, this discoloration limits its application in the esthetic zone and also in adults, such that except for root caries in the elderly, SDF has no other indications in adults [23, 24]. A few methods have been suggested to lessen this coloration and make the treatment more patient-friendly [25], such as adding a super-saturated potassium iodide (KI) solution right after SDF is applied [which forms silver iodide (AgI) as a creamy white substance [26], using ammonium hexafluorosilicate or silicon fluoride instead of silver ions, or using silver nanoparticles [27, 28].

Glutathione is a cross-linker with anti-oxidant properties, which is extensively produced in the human liver [29]. Cross-linkers interact with the extracellular components of the dentin matrix and form inter- and intra-molecular cross-links leading to dentin biomodification. Resultantly, some areas are formed in the cross-linked matrix where susceptible collagen fibers are protected against the effects of collagenases, and the mineral phase present in gaps between the collagen fibers is protected against further dissolution. Resultantly, the biomechanical and biochemical properties of dentin improve [30, 31].

A decrease in glutathione levels and an increase in oxidative stress, or lipid peroxidation, can lead to a decrease in antioxidant properties, cell death, and inflammation when there are high levels of reactive silver ions in SDF. These cytotoxic effects on the den-

tin-pulp complex can also cause discoloration [32]. Therefore, there are some concerns about using SDF in deep cavities since it is cytotoxic to dental pulp cells [27]. One crucial component in preventing silver cytotoxicity is thiol-containing compounds (NACs) like glutathione, which can neutralize the action of silver ions [33]. Glutathione can also form a coating around silver particles and minimize discoloration in teeth treated with SDF by reducing of accumulation of silver particles and controlling the speed of dispersion of silver ions[34]. Some concerns exist regarding the reduction in the number of free silver ions and the subsequent reduction in the beneficial effects of SDF in the use of KI[35]. Other possible side effects of KI application include the possibility of adverse effects on the fetus in pregnant women, interference with thyroid function, eliciting allergic reactions, edema in salivary glands, and soft tissue desquamation[36].

Chelation of hydroxyapatite, which occurs when polyacrylate ions react with calcium in its structure, is responsible for GI cement's adherence to dentin. Cement adherence is influenced by the calcium content of the tooth structure [37]. In contrast, SDF polishes teeth by raising their surface calcium and phosphorus levels. Also, amorphous calcium phosphate, which is derived from hydroxyapatite, is generated, and its calcium to phosphorous ratio can be adjusted [38]. In addition, silver ions in SDF can partially substitute for calcium ions in hydroxyapatite, resulting in the formation of silver hydroxyapatite [11]. This suggests that SDF may have the ability to modify the GI bond strength to tooth structure [39]. The findings on this subject have sparked debate. While some research found that SDF was compatible with GI restorations, other studies found that it weakened the bond strength between GI and dentin when applied [40]. When it comes to improving the bond strength of GI to dentin that has been prepared with SDF and KI, or to masking the discoloration, there are a number of research that you can refer to. Glutathione has been shown to be effective in reducing staining[24], but no one has yet tested how combining glutathione and SDF affects the bond strength of GI. Its

wide-ranging dental use necessitates supplementary studies comparing SDF-GLU, SDF-KI, and SDF independently. Consequently, the purpose of this research was to evaluate and contrast the microtensile bond strength (mTBS) of demineralized dentin that had been treated with SDF, KI, and glutathione, as well as dentin that had not been treated (control group).

Materials and Methods

From dental clinics, researchers gathered 75 healthy molars that were free of restorations, cracks, and cavities for this investigation. No teeth were pulled for this study, as the teeth that were extracted were due to periodontal disease (IR.AJUMS.REC.1400.692). The teeth were placed in a solution containing 0.1 weight percent thymol as soon as they were extracted. Within six months following extraction, the teeth were put to their full potential. The Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences ethics committee gave its stamp of approval to the study (The ethical code is IR.AJUMS.REC.1400.692). Acrylic resin was used to install the teeth, up to 1 mm below the cemento-enamel junction. To reveal the intermediate dentin, a low-speed diamond saw was used to remove the occlusal enamel. The next step was a 10-second water polish using 600-grit silicon carbide abrasive paper. Dentin demineralization was mimicked by immersing the teeth in a demineralizing solution (500 mM acetate, 2.2 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate, and 2.2 mM calcium chloride) at 37°C for 7 days. The demineralized dentin layer was removed and a typical smear layer was created by gently polishing the teeth with 600-grit silicon carbide abrasive paper. There was a single specimen prepared from each tooth. Here is how the specimens were divided into five groups, each with fifteen specimens:

Group 1 (control): Dentin surface was rinsed with saline alone.

Group 2 (SDF): Dentin was treated with a single drop of SDF (Cariestop 38%, Biodinamica, Brazil) using a microbrush. After one minute, the area was washed for thirty seconds.

Section 3 (SDF-KI): One minute following the SDF application, a microbrush was used

to apply KI (Merck, Germany) to the dentin surface in order to get a creamy color deposit. A 30-second rinsing of the dentin followed.

Group 4 (SDF + GLU): The procedure was similar to group 2, with the difference that instead of SDF, a mixture of SDF and glutathione (Glutathione reduced; Merck, Germany) was applied.

Group 5 (SDF-GLU): The procedure was similar to group 3, with the difference that instead of KI, 20% glutathione was used after the application of SDF.

Tygon tubes with 1 mm length and 0.79 mm internal diameter were then placed on each dentin specimen and filled with self-cure restorative GI (Fuji IX, GC, Japan). After that, the Tygon tubes were separated from the attached GI cylinders using a surgical blade. The specimens were then placed in distilled water and incubated at 37°C for a whole day. A cyanoacrylate adhesive (Super adhesive; Razi, Iran) was used to attach the specimens to the testing jig after they were taken out of the incubator and allowed to air dry. Following the procedure of earlier research, the mTBS test was carried out. The cylinders were attached to a universal testing machine (AG-X plus, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) using stainless steel wire loops (0.2 mm).

At the tooth-GI interface, the wire was looped and then spun around each GI cylinder. Pulling the wire parallel to the cylinder at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/minute applied a progressive stress until debonding occurred. After each of the three iterations, we took note of the average. The bond strength was determined in megapascals (MPa) by dividing the kilogramme debonding force by the cross-sectional area. A stereomicroscope (SZX16; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used to examine the specimens after testing. The mode of failure was identified based on the proportion of GI that remained on the dentin surface. Based on the results, we can say that the failure mode was either cohesive within the GI or an adhesive at the GI-dentin interface. Following the bond strength test, the following was done to determine the failure mode in accordance with Khor *et al*:

- The failure rate of the adhesive between GIC and dentin ranged from 0% to 19%.
- A mixed failure occurred when 20–79% of

the GIC failed.

- A cohesive failure occurred when 80–100% of the GIC failed.

After determining the mode of failure, the dentin part of broken specimens was scanned, and the most prominent region was recorded. The selected specimens were air-dried and mounted on brass pieces with carbon adhesive. They were gold sputter-coated with pure gold, placed on a special tray, transferred to a vacuum chamber, and inspected under a scanning electron microscope (SEM; VEG TES-CAN-XMU, Czech Republic).

Statistical Analysis

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine if the data followed a normal distribution. Data that followed a normal distribution were examined using two-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test. The Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests were used to assess data that did not follow a normal distribution. Statistics were performed using SPSS version 21 (SPSS Inc., IL, USA) with a significance level of 0.05 for all analyses.

Results

Microtensile Bond Strength Comparison

In this investigation, five sets of teeth were evaluated. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine if the data followed a normal distribution. Table-1 shows that there was a statistically significant difference in bond strength (Mpa) between all groups and the control group ($P=0.02$).

Following this, a post hoc test was used to compare all groups. The results showed that there were significant differences between the control and SDF-KI groups ($P=0.019$) and the control and SDF-GLU groups ($P=0.005$), as shown in Table-2.

Table-2 shows that compared to the SDF group, the SDF-KI group had a noticeably weaker mean bond strength to dentin ($P=0.024$). According to Table-2, the mean bond strength in the SDF-GLU group was also noticeably lower than in the SDF group ($P=0.006$). Concerning bond strength, though, SDF+GLU was ineffective. The SDF-KI group likewise had weaker bonds than the SDF+GLU group.

Failure Mode Observation

Table-2 shows that only the control, SDF, and SDF+GLU groups showed signs of cohesive failure in GI. The frequency of adhesive failure varied significantly among the groups, according to statistical analysis ($P < 0.05$). Mixed and cohesive failure rates were not significantly different across groups ($P > 0.05$) as

compared to the SDF+GLU group (Table-3).

SEM Assessment

Since high-viscosity GI cement contains water, their inspection under an SEM was difficult because the preparation of specimens through dehydration and metallization can cause artificial microcracks both within the material and

Table 1. Comparing mean of bond strength(Mpa)in the five groups(control,SDF,KI,mix SDF and Glutathione and SDF+Glutathione) (n=15)

Groups	Mean (MPa)	Sample size(N)	Std. Deviation	P-Value
Control	19.5733	15	12.25870	0.02
SDF	19.2327	15	10.04620	
SDF-KI	11.2473	15	4.58846	
SDF - GLU	14.6220	15	9.66362	
SDF + GLU	9.5433	15	9.03194	
Total	14.8437	75	10.06847	

Table 2. Frequency of different modes of failure(cohesive,adhesive and mixed failure)in five groups of study

Groups	Failure Modes (N)			
	Cohesive	Adhesive	Mixed	Total (n)
CONTROL	3 20%	2 13.3%	10 66.7%	15
SDF	1 6.7%	2 13.3%	12 80%	15
SDF-KI	-	8 53.3%	7 46.7%	15
SDF+GLU	1 6.7%	6 40%	8 53.3%	15
SDF-GLU	-	9 60%	6 40%	15
	5	27	43	75

KI: Potassium iodide; **SDF:** Silver diamine fluoride; **GLU:** Glutathione

Table 3. Adhesive failure (GI-dentin interface) rates in five groups compared to one another: control, SDF, KI, mix SDF & Glutathione, and SDF+Glutathione.

Chi-Square Tests			
	Value	Df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Likelihood Ratio	13.069	4	0.011
Pearson Chi-Square	12.970 ^a	4	0.014
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.139	1	0.709
N of Valid Cases	75		

5 cells (50.0%) is expected count below five. The minimum expected count is 3.80.

at the bonding interface. Figures-1A to 1D show SEM micrographs of the study groups.

Discussion

In this investigation, we aimed to find out whether adding SDF to demineralized dentin before bonding with GI weakens the bond strength, both with and without potassium iodide and glutathione. Dentin surfaces are coated with CaF₂ globular particles and Ag₃PO₄ cubic crystals as a consequence of the reaction between SDF and tooth hydroxyapatite. CaF₂ globular particles are eliminated by rinsing while Ag₃PO₄ cubic crystals are not dissolved by rinsing and undergo discoloration over time[41]. Thus, SDF causes dark spots on the tooth structure, which create some concerns regarding its clinical use[42, 43]. This discoloration limits the application of SDF in permanent dentition especially in the esthetic zone even if its definite cariostatic efficacy is confirmed[23].

Several studies have shown that SDF can be used with GI restorations without any problems. Nevertheless, there is a lack of research on the effectiveness of additives like SDF, KI, and glutathione in improving the bond strength between GI and demineralized dentin or in masking discoloration. The purpose of this research was to determine how well SDF, KI, and glutathione strengthened the link between GI and dentin. The affected dentin is partially demineralized and has adequate collagen fibers for remineralization. Therefore, according to the principles of minimally invasive dentistry, the affected dentin should be preserved clinically. The bond strength to damaged dentin is lower than to sound dentin, making bonding to this substrate hard. Artificial demineralization of dentin to mimic caries was thus performed in a controlled in vitro setting for this study [16, 44]. Restoration of teeth treated with SDF to restore their function and improve esthetics is a challenge in restorative dentistry[45]. Fuji IX was selected as the restorative material for the present study because it is among the strongest restorative conventional GI cement available in the market, and has also been recommended by the WHO for ARTs[46].

When comparing the experimental groups

to the control group, statistical analyses revealed that all of them had lower mean bond strengths to dentin. However, only the SDF-KI and SDF-GLU groups showed a statistically significant decrease in bond strength to dentin; applying SDF alone or SDF+GLU had no discernible effect on the bond strength of GI to demineralized dentin. The decrease in mean bond strength could be due to the silver in SDF reacting with protein phosphate groups, instead of reacting with the calcium in GI, which is present in the composition [46]. Consequently, one study found that SDF reduced the bond strength of GI to dentin[51], while another systematic review by Frohlich *et al.*[47] and other studies revealed that SDF had no effect on the link between GI and dentin[16,39, 48-50]. Following a procedure similar to that of Knight *et al.*, the current study applied SDF and then washed off the deposit [26].

A creamy white deposit of silver iodide is formed with application of KI saturated solution followed by SDF. This deposit limits the availability of silver ions, which are responsible for dark dentin discoloration, and hence avoids discoloration[30, 52]. Having said that, the impact will fade with time[41]. Still, the present investigation found that the SDF-KI group had substantially weaker GI-dentin bond strength compared to the SDF group. The bond strength of GI to dentin was not significantly affected by applying KI solution to dentin after SDF treatment, according to Zhao *et al.* and Knight *et al.* The use of a macro-shear bond strength test, however, casts doubt on the reliability of the results. Even if they didn't reveal how they used SDF in their research. Consequently, each of the aforementioned investigations have a substantial risk of bias[16, 26, 53]. François *et al.* and Knight *et al.* both found that GI bond strength to SDF-treated dentin was lowered when KI was applied, which is in line with the current findings[26, 50, 54].

In the case of KI, it is difficult to remove the silver deposits that are generated on the surface of the dentin. To remove the silver contamination on the surface and its negative impact on the bond strength between GI and SDF-KI-treated dentin, an extra layer of surface treatment with polyalkenoic acid is ap-




Figure 1. (A) failure mode of dentine-glass ionomer under scanning electron microscopy (silver diamine fluoride group), (B) failure mode of dentine-glass ionomer under scanning electron microscopy (mixture of silver diamine fluoride and glutathione group), (B') failure mode of dentine-glass ionomer under scanning electron microscopy (mixture of silver diamine fluoride and glutathione group)(C) failure mode of dentine-glass ionomer under scanning electron microscopy (silver diamine fluoride then application of glutathione group), (D) failure mode of dentine-glass ionomer under scanning electron microscopy (silver diamine fluoride then application of iodide potassium group)

plied [50].

Before the application of high-viscosity GI cement, the use of polyacrylic acid and subsequent irrigation of dentin can improve the bonding quality. It partially demineralizes the dentin surface and enhances the possibility of chemical and micromechanical interactions between GI cement and hydroxyapatite[55]. Although the dentin conditioning protocol for

FUJI IX GI includes the application of a 10% polyacrylic acid conditioner on the dentin surface before bonding, the use of phosphoric acid can improve the bond strength by eliminating of superficial biological impurities, the smear layer, and smear plugs[56, 57].

Removal of the smear layer from the dentin surface by a conditioner such as polyacrylic acid can affect the bond strength[58]. How-

ever, in the present study, only the deposits caused by the reaction of SDF with KI were rinsed after the application of SDF-KI, and cleaning of the dentin surface with polyacrylic or phosphoric acid was not performed. This led to a dramatic weakening of the GI-dentin connection after treatment with SDF-KI. Before GI bonding, it seems that the dentin surface must be thoroughly cleaned of deposits that have been generated by the reaction of SDF-KI [59]. More long-term research comparing the properties and effects of SDF-KI for general usage throughout time are needed, according to the present study and earlier investigations [60].

A biomimetic coating of glutathione on silver particles has been employed in the past to improve their water solubility and their interactions with intricate biological systems. This compound controls the speed of release of silver ions and decelerates the process of discoloration of teeth treated with SDF over time[34].

Consistent with the findings of Priya *et al.*, the present investigation found that SDF+GLU had no effect on binding strength. This discovery could be explained by the fact that glutathione, an antioxidant with properties that cross-link and stabilize collagen, can potentially enhance the binding strength in the SDF+GLU group [61]. Previous studies have demonstrated that even after rinsing off the SDF from the dentin surface, mineral deposits still form on the dentin surface and even within the dentinal tubules. A difference exists in this regard between the application of glutathione after SDF or mixing the glutathione with SDF and the application of the mixture on the dentin surface. Accordingly, when glutathione is used in combination with SDF, deposit formation on the dentin surface changes, and when glutathione is applied after the SDF, the formation of deposits significantly decreases. Also, due to no penetration of deposits, the opening of many dentinal tubules remains open; whereas, when glutathione is mixed with SDF, greater amounts of mineral deposits form on the dentin surface[62].

Using scanning electron micrographs (SEMs), the current investigation showed that dentin surface mineral deposits were plentiful when SDF mixed with glutathione (SDF+GLU)

was applied (Figures-1B and 1B'). Due to the small size of deposits, they did not cause complete obstruction of dentinal tubule openings, enabling micromechanical, and to a lesser extent, chemical retention within the dentinal tubules. Moreover, due to the higher cross-sectional area of mineral deposits, compared with other groups, a stronger bond to GI was achieved in this group. However, in the application of glutathione after SDF (SDF-GLU), a cross-section almost free from mineral deposits (resembling an etched dentin surface) was observed (Figure-1C). Glutathione has an acidic pH, and materials with a low pH have a demineralizing effect on dentin and impair the stability of crystalline deposits on the dentin surface. This may be another reason explaining the elimination of mineral deposits from the dentin surface in SDF-GLU group after the application of 20% glutathione following the use of SDF on dentin[62, 63].

In bonding to GI, the increased mineral content of dentin surface by the application of solutions that result in the deposition of calcium phosphate or calcium sulfate can increase the reactivity of the superficial layer with poly-acid[64, 65]. The present study's SEM micrographs, in conjunction with those of Kim *et al.*[62], suggest that the SDF+GLU group had the strongest bonds, while the SDF-GLU group had the weakest. This makes sense, given that the mineral content of the tooth structure determines the mechanism of GI bonding [55]. The reason may be that a previous study[62] showed that the abovementioned groups had the highest and lowest mineral deposition on the dentin surface, respectively. The present investigation found a similar pattern, with GI bond strength significantly reduced after dentin was pretreated with SDF-GLU. Despite the numerous advantages of SDF, it inhibits the activity of alkaline phosphatase, while this enzyme is imperative for mineralization and formation of tertiary dentin[66]. However, previous studies showed that the application of glutathione can inhibit the reduction in the level of this enzyme. On the other hand, SDF is toxic for dental pulp stem cells even in low concentrations (< 0.001%), and can cause their death[67]. On the other hand, glutathione is an antioxidant that has been proven to be effective in the re-

duction of cytotoxicity of SDF. Restorative procedures in permanent dentition, specifically in cavities near the pulp, and indirect pulp capping may benefit from the use of SDF+GLU because it can reduce discoloration caused by SDF and does not weaken the bond strength of GI to demineralized dentin, two of its main drawbacks [62]. To determine whether this molecule is harmful to pulp cells and whether glutathione modifies SDF's ability to induce dentin remineralization, further research is needed.

Conclusion

The application of SDF+GLU is a suitable

method for dentin surface preparation before GI restoration. However, SDF-KI and SDF-GLU are not recommended due to the reduction in μ TBS of GI to dentin.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to our advisors, Dr. Mahsa Samani, for their invaluable guidance and support throughout the research process.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no Conflicts of Interests.

References

- Schwendicke F, Frencken JE, Bjørndal L, et al. Managing Carious Lesions: Consensus Recommendations on Carious Tissue Removal. *Adv Dent Res.* 2016;28:58-67.
- Frencken JE, Leal SC, Navarro MF. Twenty-five-year atraumatic restorative treatment (ART) approach: a comprehensive overview. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2012;16:1337-1346.
- Quock RL, Barros JA, Yang SW, et al. Effect of silver diamine fluoride on microtensile bond strength to dentin. *Oper Dent.* 2012;37:610-616.
- Ericson D, Kidd E, McComb D, et al. Minimally Invasive Dentistry--concepts and techniques in cariology. *Oral Health Prev Dent.* 2003;1:59-72.
- Leal S, Bonifacio C, Raggio D, et al. Atraumatic Restorative Treatment: Restorative Component. *Monogr Oral Sci.* 2018;27:92-102.
- Frencken J, Schwendicke F, Innes N. Caries excavation evolution of treating cavitated carious lesions. *S.Karger AG;* 2018.
- Khoroushi M, Keshani F. A review of glass-ionomers: From conventional glass-ionomer to bioactive glass-ionomer. *Dent Res J (Isfahan).* 2013;10:411-420.
- Kumari PD, Khijmatgar S, Chowdhury A, et al. Factors influencing fluoride release in atraumatic restorative treatment (ART) materials: A review. *J Oral Biol Craniofac Res.* 2019;9:315-320.
- Arbabzadeh-Zavareh F, Gibbs T, Meyers IA, et al. Recharge pattern of contemporary glass ionomer restoratives. *Dent Res J (Isfahan).* 2012;9:139-145.
- Rosenblatt A, Stamford TC, Niederman R. Silver diamine fluoride: a caries "silver-fluoride bullet". *J Dent Res.* 2009;88:116-125.
- Mei ML, Lo ECM, Chu CH. Arresting Dentine Caries with Silver Diamine Fluoride: What's Behind It? *J Dent Res.* 2018;97:751-758.
- Crystal YO, Niederman R. Evidence-Based Dentistry Update on Silver Diamine Fluoride. *Dent Clin North Am.* 2019;63:45-68.
- Salas-López EK, Pierdant-Pérez M, Hernández-Sierra JF, et al. Effect of Silver Nanoparticle-Added Pit and Fissure Sealant in the Prevention of Dental Caries in Children. *J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2017;41:48-52.
- Huang W-T, Shahid S, Anderson P. Applications of silver diamine fluoride in management of dental caries. *Advanced Dental Biomaterials: Elsevier;* 2019. p. 675-699.
- Alvear Fa B, Jew J, Wong A, et al. Silver modified atraumatic restorative technique (SMART): an alternative caries prevention tool. *Stoma Edu J.* 2016;3:18-24.
- Zhao IS, Chu S, Yu OY, et al. Effect of silver diamine fluoride and potassium iodide on shear bond strength of glass ionomer cements to caries-affected dentine. *Int Dent J.* 2019;69:341-347.
- Ariffin Z, Ngo H, McIntyre J. Enhancement of fluoride release from glass ionomer cement following a coating of silver fluoride. *Aust Dent J.* 2006;51:328-332.
- Vijayakumar M, Sabari Lavanya S, PonnuduraiArangannal JJ, et al. When and Where to use and not to use SDF?--overview. *European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine.* 2020;7:6567-6572.
- Brunet-Llobet L, Auría-Martín B, González-Chópita Y, et al. The use of silver diamine fluoride in a children's hospital: Critical

- analysis and action protocol. *Clin Exp Dent Res.* 2022;8:1175-1184.
20. Horst JA, Ellenikiotis H, Milgrom PL. UCSF Protocol for Caries Arrest Using Silver Diamine Fluoride: Rationale, Indications and Consent. *J Calif Dent Assoc.* 2016;44:16-28.
 21. Pardue S, Care EO. Silver Diamine Fluoride 38% Scientific Literature Review August 2016. *J Dent Res.* 2011;90:203-208.
 22. Huang WT, Anderson P, Duminis T, et al. Effect of topically applied silver compounds on the demineralisation of hydroxyapatite. *Dent Mater.* 2022;38:709-714.
 23. Bains VK, Bains R. The antioxidant master glutathione and periodontal health. *Dent Res J (Isfahan).* 2015;12:389-405.
 24. Sonthalia S, Daulatabad D, Sarkar R. Glutathione as a skin whitening agent: Facts, myths, evidence and controversies. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* 2016;82:262-272.
 25. Targino AG, Flores MA, dos Santos Junior VE, de Godoy Bené Bezerra F, de Luna Freire H, Galembeck A, et al. An innovative approach to treating dental decay in children A new anti-caries agent. *J Mater Sci Mater Med.* 2014;25:2041-2047.
 26. Targino AG, Flores MA, dos Santos Junior VE, de Godoy Bené Bezerra F, de Luna Freire H, Galembeck A, Rosenblatt A. An innovative approach to treating dental decay in children. A new anti-caries agent. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine.* 2014 Aug;25:2041-7.
 27. Knight GM, McIntyre JM, Mulyani. The effect of silver fluoride and potassium iodide on the bond strength of auto cure glass ionomer cement to dentine. *Aust Dent J.* 2006 Mar;51(1):42-5.
 28. Suge T, Kawasaki A, Ishikawa K, et al. Effects of ammonium hexafluorosilicate concentration on dentin tubule occlusion and composition of the precipitate. *Dent Mater.* 2010;26:29-34.
 29. Burgess JO, Vaghela PM. Silver Diamine Fluoride: A Successful Anticaries Solution with Limits. *Adv Dent Res.* 2018;29:131-134.
 30. Ji Y, Si W, Zeng J, et al. Niujaodihuang Detoxify Decoction inhibits ferroptosis by enhancing glutathione synthesis in acute liver failure models. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2021;279:114305.
 31. Sayed M, Matsui N, Hiraishi N, et al. Effect of Glutathione Bio-Molecule on Tooth Discoloration Associated with Silver Diamine Fluoride. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018;19:
 32. Bedran-Russo AK, Pauli GF, Chen SN, et al. Dentin biomodification: strategies, renewable resources and clinical applications. *Dent Mater.* 2014;30:62-76.
 33. Fancher ME, Fournier S, Townsend J, et al. Cytotoxic effects of silver diamine fluoride. *Am J Dent.* 2019;32:152-156.
 34. Eom HJ, Choi J. p38 MAPK activation, DNA damage, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis as mechanisms of toxicity of silver nanoparticles in Jurkat T cells. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2010;44:8337-8342.
 35. Taglietti A, Diaz Fernandez YA, Amato E, et al. Antibacterial activity of glutathione-coated silver nanoparticles against Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria. *Langmuir.* 2012;28:8140-8148.
 36. Knight GM, McIntyre JM, Craig GG, et al. Inability to form a biofilm of *Streptococcus mutans* on silver fluoride- and potassium iodide-treated demineralized dentin. *Quintessence Int.* 2009;40:155-161.
 37. Roberts A, Bradley J, Merkley S, Pachal T, Gopal JV, Sharma D. Does potassium iodide application following silver diamine fluoride reduce staining of tooth A systematic review. *Aust Dent J.* 2020;65:109-117.
 38. Peric T, Markovic E, Markovic D, et al. Meta-Analysis of In-Vitro Bonding of Glass-Ionomer Restorative Materials to Primary Teeth. *Materials (Basel).* 2021;14:
 39. Chu CH, Mei L, Seneviratne CJ, et al. Effects of silver diamine fluoride on dentine carious lesions induced by *Streptococcus mutans* and *Actinomyces naeslundii* biofilms. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2012;22:2-10.
 40. Jiang M, Mei ML, Wong M, et al. Influence of Silver Diamine Fluoride Treatment on the Microtensile Bond Strength of Glass Ionomer Cement to Sound and Carious Dentin. *Oper Dent.* 2020;45:E271-e279.
 41. Moberg, Jared A. Effect of Silver Diamine Fluoride Treatments on Enamel/Dentin Bonding. Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland 20814;2018.
 - 42.
 43. Nguyen NQ, Yalaoui F, Amodeo L, Chehade H, Toggenburger P. Reactive rescheduling method for electric vehicles charging in dedicated residential zone parking. 2017 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI). 2017; Nov:1-6.
 44. Mei ML, Ito L, Cao Y, et al. Inhibitory effect of silver diamine fluoride on dentine demineralisation and collagen degradation. *Journal of dentistry.* 2013;41:809-817.
 45. Marquezan M, Corrêa FN, Sanabe ME, et al. Artificial methods of dentine caries induction: A hardness and morphological comparative study. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2009;54:1111-1117.
 46. Asghar M, Omar RA, Yahya R, et al.

- Approaches to minimize tooth staining associated with silver diamine fluoride: A systematic review. *J Esthet Restor Dent.* 2023;35:322-332.
47. Arita K, Yamamoto A, Shinonaga Y, et al. Hydroxyapatite particle characteristics influence the enhancement of the mechanical and chemical properties of conventional restorative glass ionomer cement. *Dent Mater J.* 2011;30:672-683.
 48. Knight GM, McIntyre JM, Craig GG, et al. Ion uptake into demineralized dentine from glass ionomer cement following pretreatment with silver fluoride and potassium iodide. *Aust Dent J.* 2006;51:237-241.
 49. Fröhlich TT, Rocha RD, Botton G. Does previous application of silver diamine fluoride influence the bond strength of glass ionomer cement and adhesive systems to dentin Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2020 Jan;30(1):85-95.
 50. Wang AS, Botelho MG, Tsoi JK, et al. Effects of silver diamine fluoride on microtensile bond strength of GIC to dentine. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives.* 2016;70:196-203.
 51. Ng E, Saini S, Schulze KA, et al. Shear Bond Strength of Glass Ionomer Cement to Silver Diamine Fluoride-Treated Artificial Dental Caries. *Pediatr Dent.* 2020;42:221-225.
 52. François P, Greenwall-Cohen J, Le Goff S, et al. Shear bond strength and interfacial analysis of high-viscosity glass ionomer cement bonded to dentin with protocols including silver diamine fluoride. *J Oral Sci.* 2020;62:444-448.
 53. Braz PVF, Dos Santos AF, Leal SC, et al. The effect of silver diamine fluoride and cleaning methods on bond strength of glass-ionomer cements to caries-affected dentin. *Am J Dent.* 2020;33:196-200.
 54. Uchil SR, Suprabha BS, Suman E, et al. Effect of three silver diamine fluoride application protocols on the microtensile bond strength of resin-modified glass ionomer cement to carious dentin in primary teeth. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent.* 2020;38:138-144.
 55. Fröhlich TT, Botton G, Rocha RO. Bonding of Glass-Ionomer Cement and Adhesives to Silver Diamine Fluoride-treated Dentin: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Adhes Dent.* 2022;24:29-38.
 56. Greenwall-Cohen J, Greenwall L, Barry S. Silver diamine fluoride-an overview of the literature and current clinical techniques. *British dental journal.* 2020;228:831-838.
 57. Coutinho E, Cardoso MV, De Munck J, et al. Bonding effectiveness and interfacial characterization of a nano-filled resin-modified glass-ionomer. *Dent Mater.* 2009;25:1347-1357.
 58. Matos AB, Palma RG, Saraceni CH, et al. Effects of acid etching on dentin surface: SEM morphological study. *Braz Dent J.* 1997;8:35-41.
 59. Tay FR, Smales RJ, Ngo H, et al. Effect of different conditioning protocols on adhesion of a GIC to dentin. *J Adhes Dent.* 2001;3:153-167.
 60. Pavan S, dos Santos PH, Berger S, et al. The effect of dentin pretreatment on the microtensile bond strength of self-adhesive resin cements. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2010;104:258-264.
 61. Cai J, Burrow MF, Manton DJ, et al. Effects of silver diamine fluoride/potassium iodide on artificial root caries lesions with adjunctive application of proanthocyanidin. *Acta Biomater.* 2019;88:491-502.
 62. Choudhury D. Silver Diamine Fluoride-The New Black Magic. *University journal of dental sciences.* 2022;8:
 63. Priya D, Karale R, Prashanth B, et al. Effect of silver diamine fluoride, potassium iodide, and glutathione on micro-shear bond strength of glass ionomer cement to caries affected dentine. *Journal of Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry.* 2022;40:62-66.
 64. Kim S, Nassar M, Tamura Y, et al. The effect of reduced glutathione on the toxicity of silver diamine fluoride in rat pulpal cells. *Journal of Applied Oral Science.* 2021;29:
 65. Craig G, Knight G, McIntyre J. Clinical evaluation of diamine silver fluoride/potassium iodide as a dentine desensitizing agent A pilot study. *Aust Dent J.* 2012;57:308-311.
 66. Iaculli F, Salucci A, Di Giorgio G, et al. Bond strength of self-adhesive flowable composites and glass ionomer cements to primary teeth: a systematic review and meta-analysis of in vitro studies. *Materials.* 2021;14:6694.
 67. Lorenzetti CC, Bortolatto JF, Ramos A, et al. The effectiveness of glass ionomer cement as a fiber post cementation system in endodontically treated teeth. *Microsc Res Tech.* 2019;82:1191-1197.
 68. Sulyanto RM, Kang M, Srirangapatanam S, et al. Biomineralization of Dental Tissues Treated with Silver Diamine Fluoride. *J Dent Res.* 2021;100:1099-1108.
 69. Hu S, Muniraj G, Mishra A, et al. Characterization of silver diamine fluoride cytotoxicity using microfluidic tooth-on-a-chip and gingival equivalents. *Dent Mater.* 2022;38:1385-1394.