Dear editor and reviewers,

Thank you for the comprehensive review of our manuscript and helpful comments. The following changes are made according to suggested revisions.

Reviewer A:

There are some issues about this manuscript that need revisions. Please revise your manuscript considering the points that are mentioned in the comments below:

1. The following format for preparing the manuscript is suggested:

-  Title page:

This file should provide the total number of pages, total number of

photographs and word counts separately for abstract and for the text

(excluding the references, tables and abstract).

Re: The title page information is completed accordingly.

2. You should provide 5 keywords according to Mesh terms.

Re: Added and highlighted.

3. In the main text, the references number must be in bracket [ ].

Re: ٍEdited.

4. The references must be written in Vancouver style. Some of the references need revision.

Re: ٍEdited and highlighted.

5. The manuscript may have about 130 References.

Re: As the paper is a historical review on views in the field of medical ethics from one famous medical scholar (Avicenna), the references are limited. However multiple new references are added.

Reviewer B:

The author(s) presented a short review on medical ethics based on Avicenna’s manuscript. There are some questions in this regard;

1- You have used different terms for Avicenna’s manuscript (Canon of medicine and Qanon of tibb). I suggest the first term to be used in all the

text.

Re: ٍEdited and highlighted.

2- The methods section should be re-written in detail answering such questions: type of your study, the search engines you used, the keywords for your search, the period of time you searched the web, the way you recorded and analysed your data and so on.

Re: The paper is a historical review on views in the field of medical ethics from one famous medical scholar (Avicenna) from one chapter of specific historical manuscript (*Fi Bayan al-hajat Ela al-Teb va al-Atteba va Vasayahom*”). The entire chapter is read and reviewed by authors. No search engine or search keyword is used. These differences are due to difference in nature of historical and routine medical reviews.

3- What do you mean by the two theories in last sentence of methods section?

Re: current theories on medical ethics and Avicenna’s viewpoints. Added and highlighted.

4- There is no conclusion section in your manuscript. Please provide according to journal instructions.

Re: Added and highlighted.

5- I suggest you some Persian articles related to your manuscript to be used in your reference such as: Medical ethics in “Kholasat-Al-Hekmat”: one of the textbooks of Iranian traditional medicine. ijme. 2011; 4 (5) :22-30 by professor Tabeie and his colleagues.

Re: Added and highlighted.

6- It is necessary to cite the references in your discussion section. You may forget this important point.

Re: Added and highlighted.

7- There is a few spelling or grammatical flaws in your text (for example; p.3, L.12). Please polish the text again.

* Re: Rechecked.

8- It is so better to mention your study limitations and superiorities in a separate paragraph.

* Re: Added and highlighted.

9- It is necessary to write all TPM terms and authors’ names in italic form.

* Re: Edited and highlighted.

10- You should change some changes in references according to journal instructions. Also, specify the Persian articles in this part.

* Re: Edited and highlighted.

Thanks

Corresponding author